The impact of variability in the rheological activation parameters on lower-mantle viscosity stratification and its dynamics
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ABSTRACT

The recently discovered spin-state crossover in iron in major mantle minerals at high pressures should exert dramatic influences on transport properties, such as the activation creep parameters in the deep mantle. Wentzcovitch et al. (2009) have computed the elastic parameter of ferropericlase that is affected by this crossover, the bulk modulus, at high realistic conditions, using first principles density functional theory plus Hubbard U. As a consequence of the spin crossover there is a significant softening of the bulk modulus and an attendant reduction of the activation energy in the creep law. Using a parameterized model capturing the basic physics, we have studied the dynamical consequences within the framework of a 2-D Cartesian convection model. Our models reveal a series of asthenospheres and are characterized first by a low-viscosity channel below the 670 km boundary (‘second asthenosphere’) caused by an increase of temperature and decrease in grain size reduction (superplasticity) with the post-spinel transition, which results in partially layered convection. Further, the variability of the rheological parameters leads to the prevalent formation of viscosity minimum at a depth of about 1600 km (‘third asthenosphere’) caused by spin crossovers followed by a ‘viscosity hill’ in the bottom half of the lower mantle, the latter due to the increase of the activation energy in some low spin irons at the bottom of the mantle. Our numerical simulations reveal a tendency to the formation of small-scale convection below the 670 km boundary and bigger stabilized plumes – and/or plume clustering in the deep lower mantle. Other material properties at the bottom of the mantle (decrease of thermal expansivity, radiative thermal conductivity) also exert significant influence on the multi-scale lower-mantle plume dynamics.

1. Introduction

The dynamics of the lower mantle depends a great deal on material properties that are not well known but are generally believed to be greatly dependent on the pressure. These lower-mantle transport and thermodynamic properties – such as increased viscosity, increased lattice thermal conductivity, reduction in thermal expansivity due to increased pressure and also the radiative component of thermal conductivity (Matyska et al., 1994) are fundamental physical quantities, which result in the generation of superplumes and also, at the same time, other smaller scale dynamical features in the lower mantle (Hansen et al., 1993; Yuen et al., 1996; van Keken and Yuen, 1995; Matyska and Yuen, 2001, 2005, 2006, 2007; Deschamps et al., 2007; Tackley et al., 2007). Since the first attempt by McKenzie (1966), where a very high viscosity was predicted for the lower mantle based on the Earth’s rotational shape, the viscosity stratification of the lower mantle has been fraught with some uncertainties. For over three decades the lower mantle was thought to have a relatively constant viscosity (Cathles, 1975; Peltier and Andrews, 1976; Hager et al., 1985; King and Masters, 1992), until the issue of multiplicity of lower-mantle viscosity solutions was raised concerning the rotational data (Sabadini et al., 1982; Yuen and Sabadini, 1985) in which a higher lower-mantle viscosity of 10^{22} to 10^{23} Pas was allowed. The notion of a ‘viscosity hill’ in the middle of the lower mantle was first developed by Ricard and Wuming (1991) from modeling the dynamic geoid and topography. This idea was further reinforced from both geoid and post-glacial rebound inversion by Forte and Mitrovica (2001) and Mitrovica and Forte (2004), Tosi et al. (2005) or recently by Soldati et al. (2009). However, a real physical explanation still remained elusive for a long time.

Recently, the high-to-low spin crossover in ferrous iron in ferropericlase (e.g. Badro et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2005, 2007a; Goncharov et al., 2006; Kantor et al., 2006; Tsuchiya et al., 2006a; Crowhurst et al., 2008; Lin and Tsuchiya, 2008; Wentzcovitch et al., 2009;
Wu et al., 2009; Hsu et al., 2010) and in ferrous and ferric iron in perovskite (McCammon, 1997; Badro et al., 2004; Frost et al., 2004; Li et al., 2004, 2006; Jackson et al., 2005; McCammon et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2008; Hofmeister, 2006; Zhang and Oganov, 2006; Stackhouse et al., 2007; Bengtson et al., 2008, 2009; Umamoto et al., 2008, 2010; Hsu et al., 2010, 2011; Catalli et al., 2010; Bower et al., 2009; Shahnas et al., 2011) have been extensively investigated by experiments, theory and dynamic modeling. Some of these studies have been able to address thermoelastic properties of these minerals at relevant pressure (P) and temperature (T) conditions (Lin et al., 2007b; Tsuchiya et al., 2006b; Wentzcovitch et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2009; Hsu et al., 2011) and there is clearly a bulk modulus softening during the spin crossover. Combining from first principles total energy calculations with classical elastic deformation theory Wentzcovitch et al. (2009) computed thermoelastic properties of ferroperovskite. Preliminary results by Hsu et al. (2011) indicate similar effects along the spin crossover in perovskite in the same pressure range in the mantle. Shear and bulk sound wave velocities can be related to the activation energy $G$ (e.g. Sammis et al., 1977), which has traditionally been taken to be a monotonically increasing function (e.g. Ranalli, 1995; Karato, 2008) and has been employed exclusively in mantle convection modeling (e.g. Schubert et al., 2001). Consequently, the viscosity $\eta$ can be assumed as a thermally activated process in the Arrhenius sense

$$\eta = A \exp \left( \frac{G}{RT} \right),$$

where the prefactor $A$ is a constant for a Newtonian fluid, $R$ is the universal gas constant and $T$ is the absolute temperature. Although ferroperovskite is not the most abundant lower-mantle mineral, it probably controls lower-mantle deformations because of its relative softness (Zerr and Bohler, 1994; Yamazaki and Karato, 2001; Holtzman et al., 2005; Larkin et al., 2005; Wentzcovitch et al., 2009). The additional spin crossover in ferric iron in perovskite (Catalli et al., 2010; Hsu et al., 2011) should contribute to making the non-monotonicity in activation parameters even more a poignant issue.

Further clarification of activation parameters behavior and related micro/meso scale mechanisms related with plasticity in the spin crossover regime at mantle conditions is desirable. In particular, a first principles calculation of diffusion rates of high-spin and low-spin ferrous iron in perovskite (Ammann et al., 2011) does not confirm the notion of reduced activation parameters. Deformation at the high temperatures and low stresses typical of the lower mantle should occur by diffusion creep and this type of calculation generally offers good insights on activation parameters for diffusion creep. However, the phenomenon of spin crossover is essentially a state change in a broad pressure range in a certain sense, resembling the two phase loop of a structural transformation. It is well known that during phase changes involving volume reduction, the bulk modulus softens and longitudinal velocities decrease (Li and Weidner, 2008). Also, visco-plastic deformation is observed during the transformation if subjected to stress perturbations with periods comparable to the transformation relaxation time. In the case of the olivine-ringwoodite system in the two-phase loop regime, the Q factor reduces to 5 for cyclic loads with periods 1000 s. Although relaxation times for spin crossovers should be short, stress relaxation is not simply accommodated by diffusion creep, but also by a continuous change in spin populations and/or configurations. Therefore, the deformation mechanism during spin state change should be more complex than simple diffusion creep, very likely involving also an anelastic component unrelated with diffusion but related with elasticity. In this situation, the elastic strain energy model should capture the essence of this phenomenon. In fact, deformation experiments at room temperature up to 81 GPa in ferropericlase (Lin et al., 2009), indicate a reduction in the strength of ferropericlase in the regime of the spin crossover. Deformation at room temperature under relatively large stresses should occur by dislocation creep, as opposed to by diffusion creep, therefore these results do not translate directly to deformation in the deep mantle. Nevertheless the reduction in strength in the mixed spin regime observed by Lin et al. (2009), supports the notion of a reduction in strength and therefore viscosity during spin crossovers in the mantle.

The main purpose of this paper is to demonstrate the dynamical influences exerted by the viscosity variations due to the possible non-monotonic behavior of the activation energy with depth and also horizontal temperature variations in the lower mantle. The main idea of the viscosity behavior due to the variability of activation energy with depth is illustrated in Fig. 1 – viscosity variations are very sensitive to both the activation energy $G$ and local temperature field and thus even a relatively shallow local minimum of $G$ can result in a substantial minimum of viscosity. Temperature gradients in both the vertical and horizontal directions are then important control parameters in determining the viscosity structure in the lower mantle. In the rest of the paper we will also discuss the role played by potential changes of local properties in the $D^2$-layer on lower-mantle dynamics.

2. Model description

We have employed a two-dimensional Cartesian model for thermal convection in which the dimensionless stream function and temperature in the extended-Boussinesq approximation (e.g. Steinbach et al., 1989) are employed. The details of this model can be found in (Matyska and Yuen, 2007). We note that the mantle phase changes are set at a given depth within the framework of the effective thermal expansivity formulation (Christensen and Yuen, 1985). The Rayleigh number $Ra = \rho_s^2 \alpha_s \Delta T g d^3 / \eta_s k_s = 10^7$, ($\rho_s$ is the surface density, $\alpha_s$ is the surface thermal expansivity, $\Delta T$ is the temperature drop across the mantle, $g$ is the gravity acceleration, $d$ is the depth of the mantle used in the non-dimensionalization, $\eta_s$ is the specific heat under a constant pressure, $k_s$ is the surface thermal conductivity). The dimensionless internal heating $\zeta = Qd^2 / k_s \Delta T = 3$, where $Q$ denotes volumetric heat sources, which corresponds approximately to one fourth of the whole mantle chondritic heating (e.g. Leitch and Yuen, 1989) because of our Cartesian geometry (O’Farrell and Lowman, 2010). Finally, the surface dissipation number $Di$ used for adiabatic and viscous heating $Di = \alpha_s g d / \rho_s$ was chosen.

At a dimensionless depth $z = 0.23$, corresponding to a depth of 670 km, endothermic spinel-perovskite phase change was incorporated into all models by means of the buoyancy parameter $P = (\Delta \rho / \rho_s \rho^2 g d) (dp / dT)$ equal to $-0.08$ ($\rho$ is a reference mantle density, $\Delta \rho$ is the density jump due to the phase change under consideration and $dp / dT$ is the Clapeyron slope). The post-perovskite phase change near the core-mantle boundary at a depth $z = 0.92$, corresponding to a depth of 2680 km, with $P = 0.05$ was considered in the cases, when the ppv phase transition in the $D^2$-layer was taken into account. Computations have been performed in a wide box with an aspect ratio equal to ten. One hundred twenty-nine evenly spaced points were employed in the vertical direction and the same resolution was used in the horizontal direction.

The dimensionless thermal expansivity profile decreasing with depth has been parameterized to
piece-wise linear function estimated the non-monotonic depth-dependence of the activation energy, which resulted in the relation

\[ G(z) = \begin{cases} 
1.0 & \text{for } 0.00 \leq z \leq 0.23, \\
1.0 + 0.2(z - 0.23)/0.19 & \text{for } 0.23 \leq z \leq 0.42, \\
1.2 - 0.1(z - 0.42)/0.13 & \text{for } 0.42 \leq z \leq 0.55, \\
1.1 + 0.7(z - 0.55)/0.45 & \text{for } 0.55 \leq z \leq 1.00
\end{cases} \]

and we have employed this parameterization in most of the models. The employed viscosity formula (3) yields a strong temperature dependence of viscosity. Without any additional creep mechanism (e.g. the stress-dependent Peierls creep) such a temperature-dependence of viscosity produces a stagnant high-viscosity lid at the surface. In order to obtain sluggish motions in the upper-boundary thermal layer, we have confined the viscosity changes in the upper mantle to only \( \pm \) two orders of magnitude. From the numerical reasons we also have to bound the viscosity variations in the lower mantle to \( \pm \) three orders of magnitude, which is, however, sufficient for producing both high-viscosities at a depth corresponding to the 'viscosity hill' and low viscosities inside hot uprising plumes.

3. Results

We have started with the case, where the depth-dependence of activation energy \( G(z) \) is given by Eq. (4), no ppv is considered in the \( D^* \)-layer and only the constant phonon thermal conductivity is taken into account for the entire mantle (Fig. 2). In this case we have obtained nearly steady-state convection. Huge very stable cold downwellings with unrealistic thicknesses and big plumes with the heads barely reaching close to 670 km boundary are present in the lower mantle. Such a steady-state with extremely thick cold downwellings that are created as a result of relatively low temperature in the lower mantle can thus hardly be consistent with real mantle convection and it becomes clear that other physical processes are needed to obtain geophysically more realistic scenarios.

First, we added the post-perovskite (ppv) transition into the \( D^* \)-layer. It is well established that the exothermic perovskite–post-perovskite phase change increases the chaotic time-dependence of mantle convection (Matyska and Yuen, 2006; Nakagawa and Tackley, 2004). In this study we focus entirely on the dynamics of the lower mantle and will not be concerned with the upper-mantle convection. It is of interest that after reaching a statistical equilibrium one can obtain both a big plume similar to the plumes generated in the previous case (see the left part of panels in Fig. 3) and plume clusters with intensive plume–plume interactions within the framework of one dynamical model. It is remarkable that the plume clusters are visible more or less only in the bottom half of the lower mantle and only some plume heads penetrate through the lower-mantle viscosity barrier. These heads are sometimes drifted horizontally by a mean flow below the 670 km boundary of the opposite direction to the mean flow in the \( D^* \)-layer, which induces drifts in the roots of these plumes. The plume limbs can be thus substantially inclined. We should note here, that similar

\[ k=1 \text{ in the whole mantle, no ppv} \]

Fig. 2. One snapshot of the dimensionless temperature field for the model with activation energy \( G(z) \) shown in Eq. (4), with constant thermal conductivity and without ppv phase transition.
to the previous model shown in Fig. 2, we get here again very thick cold downwellings as the result of the temperature-dependent viscosity. Although thicker downwelling structures in the lower mantle are expected (Běhouňková and Čížková, 2008), it is clear that we need to take into account additional mechanisms, that are able to promote heat transfer in the lower mantle.

Radiative transfer of heat is another physical mechanism that can be present close to the core–mantle boundary CMB (Badro et al., 2004; Hofmeister, 2008; Keppler et al., 2008; Goncharov et al., 2009). If the radiative thermal conductivity in the D"-layer is comparable with the phonon thermal conductivity (or is even higher), its influence to the lower-mantle dynamics can be substantial (Matyska and Yuen, 2005, 2006, 2007; Naliboff and Kellogg, 2006). This is the reason why we also added the dimensionless thermal conductivity in the form

$$k = 1 + T^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

into the D"-layer to test the case when the magnitude of the radiative thermal conductivity is the same as that of the phonon thermal conductivity at the CMB. One can clearly recognize in Fig. 4a that the lower mantle becomes hotter and the cold downwellings are thus thinned. Moreover, plume clusters – see also (Schubert et al., 2004) – in the bottom half of the lower mantle can be again created but the middle of the lower mantle seems to act as ‘a barrier’ for the smaller uprising plumes formed in the plume cluster. From the physical point of view, the important role is probably played by the low viscosity channel at the mid-mantle corresponding to the
local minimum of $G(z)$, which facilitates lateral flows, see Fig. 7. Moreover, higher temperature results in a decrease of viscosity at the CMB (see also Figs. 7 and 8), which also promotes lateral flow with long wavelength. As this model, where an increase of thermal conductivity at the bottom of the mantle is included, generate more reasonable convection patterns than the two previous models, we have decided to deal with more details concerning the influence of viscosity changes within the framework of the models with such an increased thermal conductivity. First, we tried to test sensitivity of viscosity changes within the same reasonable convection patterns than the two previous models, we conduct conductivity at the bottom of the mantle is included, generate more with long wavelength. As this model, where an increase of thermal

$$g(z) = 1.0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0.00 \leq z \leq 0.23, \quad (a)$$
$$g(z) = 1.0 + 0.2(z - 0.23)/0.12 \quad \text{for} \quad 0.23 \leq z \leq 0.35, \quad (b)$$
$$g(z) = 1.2 - 0.1(z - 0.35)/0.30 \quad \text{for} \quad 0.35 \leq z \leq 0.65, \quad (c)$$
$$g(z) = 1.1 + 0.7(z - 0.65)/0.35 \quad \text{for} \quad 0.65 \leq z \leq 1.00. \quad (d)$$

This shift was chosen more or less arbitrarily just to get an idea about its influence. Note that the slopes of $G(z)$ are perturbed by more than 25% as a result of this shift. However, the result of this change of $G$ to convection pattern and temperature distribution is not substantial. The question thus arises, whether the traditional linear increase of $G$ with pressure generate similar results or not. This was the reason, why we have also computed the model, where $G(z)$ increases linearly from 1 for $z = 0.23$ to 1.8 for $z = 1$, see Fig. 4b. Again, both single plumes as well as plume clusters can be generated but the non-existence of a low-viscosity channel in the mid-mantle results in bigger plumes with heads reaching regularly the interface at 670 km and creating thus very hot broad anomalies (see black arrows). It is a matter of debate, whether such broad hot anomalies below the 670 km are/were present in the real Earth.

As there are speculations that viscosity of the ppv could be substantially lower than the viscosity of perovskite (Yamazaki et al., 2006; Čížková et al., 2010; Hunt et al., 2009; Tosi et al., 2009; Ammann et al., 2010), we also tested separately the case, where the viscosity of ppv was lowered by a factor of 10 with respect to formula (3). Radiative transfer of heat was not considered in this model. One can see in Fig. 5 that the results are very similar to those from the previous model, i.e., a decrease of the viscosity in the $D''$-layer can cause similar dynamical effects as an increase of the thermal conductivity. However, lower-boundary layer instabilities are able to produce also very small plumes. The mean flow in

$$\text{ppv layer in } D''$$
$$k=1 \text{ in the whole mantle}$$
$$\text{ppv viscosity decreased by one order of magnitude}$$

Decadic logarithm of dimensionless viscosity

$$k=1 \text{ in the whole mantle, no ppv}$$
$$k=1 \text{ in the whole mantle, ppv layer, no changes of viscosity parameters in ppv}$$
$$k=1 \text{ above ppv layer, } k=1+T^3 \text{ in ppv, no changes of viscosity parameters in ppv}$$
$$k=1 \text{ in the whole mantle, ppv layer, ppv viscosity decreased by a factor 10}$$

Fig. 6. Stream function for the models shown in Figs 2–5. Solid lines correspond to clock-wise motion, dashed lines indicate anti-clock-wise motion. We call attention to the complex multiscale circulatory patterns in the bottom two panels in contrast to the topmost panel.

Fig. 7. The viscosity distribution in the four studied models with the $G(z)$ given by Eq. (4): 1. model without ppv, 2. model with ppv and constant thermal conductivity, 3. model with temperature dependent thermal conductivity in the ppv, 4. model with constant thermal conductivity and low ppv viscosity. Decadic logarithm of dimensionless viscosity is shown. We draw attention to the white strips in the lower mantle representing the second and third asthenospheres.
the upper half of the lower mantle is then the reason why the heads of the plumes are drifted laterally.

The comparison of streamlines for the four above mentioned models (Fig. 6) demonstrates the sensitivity of the flow field to the changes of the parameters. Clearly, the complex parameters of the $D^0$-layer (higher $k$ or lower $\eta$) enhance the vigor of convection and result in multiscale structures. The plot of a 2-D viscosity distribution corresponding to the four studied cases (Fig. 7) clearly shows the presence of the distinct low-viscosity layers (white color) in the upper half of the lower mantle. The vertical viscosity contrast associated with these layers is comparable to the horizontal viscosity contrast between the plumes and the ambient mantle. In the lower mantle we observe two low-viscosity layers – one below the 670 km (‘second asthenosphere’) is created by a high superadiabatic temperature gradient caused by a partial layering of the flow and the other one in the mid-mantle (‘third asthenosphere’) associated with the minimum of the activation energy.

Fig. 8 shows the horizontally averaged temperatures and their corresponding vertical viscosity profiles for all model runs with activation energy parameterization according to Eq. (4). We should note the remarkable subadiabatic temperature gradient obtained in all calculations above the $D^0$-layer. This can be attributed to the presence of the large scale cold anomalies stored at the bottom of the mantle. The main physical consequence effect is the presence of a ‘viscosity hill’ at the bottom of the mantle, which is very sensitive to the horizontally averaged temperature (see also Fig. 1), i.e. to the material properties of the deep mantle and the $D^0$-layer structure. Further information on such a ‘viscosity hill’ in the deep mantle coming from postglacial rebound and dynamic geoid modeling (e.g. Mitrovica and Forte, 2004) may provide an independent constraint on lower-mantle temperatures and consequently the material properties.

**4. Concluding remarks**

The non-monotonic behavior of the activation energy, caused by high spin to low-spin crossovers of both periclase and perovskite (Wentzcovitch et al., 2009; Hsu et al., 2011), should be reflected in the rather complex viscosity variations in the lower mantle. In its upper half we have two viscosity minima. The first minimum below the 670 km boundary is caused by an increase...
in the local temperature due to deflected plumes at the 670 km transition. Its presence has already been pointed out by, e.g., Kido and Čadek (1997) on the basis of the geoid inversions and it is usually denoted as a ‘second asthenosphere’. The second minimum (‘third asthenosphere’) found at a depth of about 1600 km corresponds to a region of low activation energies caused by spin crossings in ferropericlase and perovskite (Figs. 7 and 8). These two minima are followed by a ‘viscosity hill’ above the D’-layer. Such a feature has been, e.g., inferred by Ricard and Wuming (1991) in the inversion of the geoid, topography and plate motions and by the joint inversion of geoid and postglacial rebound (Forti and Mitrovica, 2001; Mitrovica and Forte, 2004). Finally, there is a viscosity minimum at the CMB generated by a temperature increase and/or intrinsic low viscosity of the ppv (Yamazaki et al., 2006; Čízková et al., 2010; Hunt et al., 2009; Tosi et al., 2009; Ammann et al., 2010).

The plume dynamics in the lower mantle on all scales is influenced by these complexities of the viscosity variations and also by the presence of the ppv. The latter provides additional influential properties, such as lower intrinsic viscosity (Yamazaki et al., 2006; Čízková et al., 2010; Hunt et al., 2009; Tosi et al., 2009; Ammann et al., 2010) and higher thermal conductivity. The presence of the perovskite to postperovskite exothermic phase change increases the lower-mantle temperature and thus decreases the maximum viscosity by about a factor of 5. Further reduction of the viscosity hill above the CMB is observed, if the ppv layer is characterized either by the increased thermal conductivity or lower viscosity. In both cases the efficiency of the heat transport from the core is enhanced and the lower-mantle viscosity is thus decreased through its thermal dependence. The overall reduction in the viscosity hill with respect to the model without ppv could thus be even more than one order of magnitude.

While the model without ppv phase transition is characterized by stable vertical plumes and very thick cold downwellings, including the exothermic phase change above the CMB enhances the activity of plumes and enforces the plume-plume interactions, which leads to clustering and plume bending. Both the decrease of the ppv viscosity and increase of the thermal conductivity result in yet more vigorous plume motions and the bending of plumes from the large-scale circulation is observed (Tarduno et al., 2009). Thus the plumes inclined under relatively steep angle are typical features found in these models. This type of behavior is caused by the existence of the large-scale horizontal flow in the unstable lower thermal-boundary layer in combination with the viscosity hill and counter-horizontal flow enabled by the existence of the second and third asthenosphere. Increased vigor of convection in the lower mantle due to a potential increase of thermal conductivity and/or decrease of viscosity in the D’-layer due to the presence of ppv results in appearance of small–scale convection in the second asthenosphere (e.g. Kido and Čadek, 1997) right below the transition zone. These small-scale flow patterns in the lower mantle may influence the local mixing of geochemical anomalies and appearance of secondary plumes in the upper mantle. Note here that small upper-mantle plumes are generated at 670 km interface in our models and we do not observe long plumes originating from D’ with the heads below the lithosphere. However, some small upper-mantle plumes can be fed from the lower-mantle plume heads below the 670 km.
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