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We study the influence of iron on the elasticity of (Mg\(_{1-x}\), Fe\(_x\))\(_2\)SiO\(_4\) olivine (0 ≤ x ≤ 0.125), a major constituent of the Earth’s upper mantle. We calculate static elastic properties by first principles for this solid solution and investigate the effect of atomic arrangement, an artifact of supercell calculations, on all single crystal and poly-crystalline elastic moduli. From calculated wave propagation velocities we find the heterogeneity ratios of shear to compressional wave velocity, and bulk sound to shear wave velocity. Their values are, though limited to composition considerations, marginally consistent with seismic tomography. Citation: Núñez-Valdez, M., K. Umemoto, and R. M. Wentzcovitch (2010), Fundamentals of elasticity of (Mg\(_{1-x}\), Fe\(_x\))\(_2\)SiO\(_4\) olivine, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L14308, doi:10.1029/2010GL044205.

1. Introduction

Olivine (Mg\(_{1-x}\), Fe\(_x\))\(_2\)SiO\(_4\) is the most abundant phase of the Earth’s upper mantle (<410 km) [Rimwood, 1975; Putnis, 1992]. Experimentally, the elastic constants of olivine and its end-member forsterite (Mg\(_2\)SiO\(_4\)) have been investigated at mantle pressures by methods such as impulsive stimulated scattering [Abramson et al., 1997], Brillouin scattering [Zha et al., 1996, 1998], and ultrasonic interferometry [Chen et al., 1996; Liu et al., 2005]. Knowledge of the elastic properties of the upper mantle minerals is of great importance for interpretation of seismic data. In particular, the anisotropy of olivine could help us understand seismic anisotropy and flow geometry in this region [Mainprice et al., 2005]. The pressure dependence of the static elastic constants of Mg\(_2\)SiO\(_4\) forsterite has been predicted by first-principles [da Silva et al., 1997]; but, to the best of our knowledge, elastic properties of silicate olivine have not been calculated. To address the effect of iron on the elasticity of orthorhombic olivine (space group Pbnm [Hazen, 1976]) we calculate the structure, equation of state, and the full static elastic constant tensor \(C_{ij}\) of (Mg\(_{1-x}\), Fe\(_x\))\(_2\)SiO\(_4\) for x = 0 and x = 0.125 between 0 and 20 GPa, a range that includes upper mantle pressures. From the elastic constants we determine seismic wave velocities and anisotropy in the single crystal and in fully isotropic and transversely isotropic aggregates. From our results we get an overall perspective of the effect of iron on the elastic properties of olivine.

2. Theory

Our first-principles calculation is based on Density Functional Theory (DFT) [Hohenberg and Kohn, 1964; Kohn and Sham, 1964]. We adopt the Local Density Approximation (LDA) for the exchange–correlation energy functional [Ceperley and Alder, 1980]. This produces a small gap insulator with correct orbital occupancies (Mg\(_{1-x}\), Fe\(_x\))\(_2\)SiO\(_4\) for x = 0.125. Therefore, there is no need for LDA + U/GGA + U-type calculations in the structural properties as discussed by H. Hsu et al. (The Hubbard U correction and iron-bearing minerals: Discussion of “Determination of the high-pressure properties of fayalite from first-principles calculations” by S. Stackhouse, L. Stixrude, and B. Karki, submitted to Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 2010). The pseudopotential for Mg was generated by von Barth–Car’s method. For Fe, Si, and O, we use pseudopotentials generated by Vanderbilt’s method [Vanderbilt, 1990]. The plane-wave cutoff energy is 40 Ry and a 4 × 2 × 4 k-point mesh is used for the Brillouin zone sampling.

We optimize a 28-atom unit cell of (Mg\(_{1-x}\), Fe\(_x\))\(_2\)SiO\(_4\) at six different pressures up to 20 GPa. Such structural optimization uses a variable cell shape molecular dynamics strategy [Wentzcovitch, 1991; Wentzcovitch et al., 1993] to obtain the ground state atomic configuration in equilibrium at arbitrary pressures.

For pressures <15 GPa, high spin (HS) iron in olivine has the lowest enthalpy. Beyond 15 GPa, the low spin (LS) state has lower enthalpy than the HS state, indicating a spin transition. Experimentally this HS to LS crossover was suggested to occur between 40 and 50 GPa [Rouquet et al., 2008]. Also the HS–LS transition in olivine at pressures ~70 GPa was suggested to account for a distinct layered structure in Earth’s lower mantle via iron partitioning and depletion [Irifune et al., 2010]. Our underestimation of the spin transition pressure is due to LDA [Umemoto et al., 2008]. Therefore we constrain iron in the HS state between 15 and 20 GPa to have HS in the full interval pressure 0–20 GPa.

Forsterite has two types of Mg sites: M(1) and M(2) [Hazen, 1976]. Thus we consider two ways to incorporate iron: Fe → M(1) and Fe → M(2). That is, a Fe-atom is placed in one of the four M(1) or M(2) equivalent sites in the 28-atom unit cell, corresponding to x = 0.125. Experimentally, olivine has orthorhombic symmetry (Pbnm), implying a disordered Mg–Fe arrangement. However, the calculated elastic constants belong to a particular Mg–Fe arrangement with lowered (monoclinic) symmetry under hydrostatic compression (homogeneous stress). Therefore, to obtain the elastic constants for the fully disordered orthorhombic
where \( R^s \) are the point group symmetry operations that relate the four symmetrically equivalent M(1) or M(2) sites to each other (including the identity), and \( S = (C)^{-1} \) are the computed compliance constants. In this way, the final elastic tensor, \( C_{ijkl} = (S_{ijkl})^{-1} \) (or \( C_{ij} \) in the Voigt notation [Wallace, 1972]), has the appropriate Pbnm symmetry. We symmetrize the compliance’s tensor instead of the elastic constant’s tensor [Kiefer et al., 2002] in the spirit of the Reuss average that corresponds to homogeneous stress. To determine \( C \) at a given pressure, the equilibrium cell is strained, and the internal parameters are re-relaxed. We apply positive and negative strains of magnitude 1\% in order to obtain accuracy in the limit of zero strain. This procedure was used on several solids and mantle silicates [Wentzcovitch et al., 1995; Karki et al., 1997].

3. Results and Discussion

[7] The static elastic constants of forsterite and olivine are shown in Figure 1 and Table 1. They are slightly larger than experimental values but in good agreement with them. The theoretical elastic constants tend to be overestimated by the static LDA calculation. The elastic constants \( C_{ij} \) for \( 0 \leq x \leq 0.125 \) increase monotonically and almost sublinearly with pressure, for \( i,j \leq 3 \). Experimentally, \( C_{44}, C_{33}, \) and \( C_{66} \) also show a non-linear pressure dependence [Abramson et al., 1997; Zha et al., 1996, 1998; Chen et al., 1996]. The effect of iron on \( C_{ij} \) can be summarized as follows: by increasing \( x \) from 0 to 0.125, off-diagonal \( C_{ij} \) increase by 5.5–7\%, shear \( C_{ij} \) decrease by 6–7\%, \( C_{33} \) is unaffected, and \( C_{11} \) and \( C_{52} \) decrease by \~3\%. In addition to the 28-atom unit cell, we also investigate a 56-atom supercell for two other atomic configurations: with two irons as far apart as possible (at 0 GPa) and with two neighboring irons (at 0 and 20 GPa). These configurations produce \( C_{ij} \), in both cases, within the range of \( C_{ij} \) from the 28-atom cell.

[8] The isotropically averaged bulk \( (K) \) and shear \( (G) \) moduli are calculated using the Voigt-Reuss-Hill averaging scheme [Watt, 1979] (Figure 2a). For \( x = 0.125 \), in average the bulk modulus increases by 2.2\% at zero pressure and 1.5\% at 20 GPa. The impact of iron on the shear modulus is stronger. With respect to forsterite, \( G \) in olivine decreases by \~6.0\% throughout the pressure interval investigated. Experiments also show an increase in bulk modulus and a decrease in shear modulus for olivine with respect to forsterite up to 15 GPa [Abramson et al., 1997; Zha et al., 1996, 1998]. Moreover, Speziale et al. [2004] also indicated this trend for the full range \( 0 \leq x \leq 1 \).

[9] Figure 2b shows the isotropic average compressional \((P)\), shear \((S)\) and bulk \((\Phi)\) wave velocities [Poirier, 2000],

\[
V_P = \sqrt{\frac{K + \frac{4}{3}G}{\rho}}, \quad V_S = \sqrt{\frac{G}{\rho}}, \quad V_\Phi = \sqrt{\frac{K}{\rho}},
\]

Figure 1. Lines (red: \( x = 0 \), black: \( x = 0.125 \)) are cubic polynomial fits of our calculated \( C_{ij} \) [color regions are spanned between curves of olivine with Fe in M(1) or M(2)] compared with experiments by Abramson et al. [1997], open circles \((x = 0.1075)\) [Zha et al., 1996, 1998]; open squares \((x = 0)\), solid squares \((x = 0.1)\) [Chen et al., 1996]; open triangles \((x = 0)\); solid triangles \((x = 0.1)\).

Table 1. Elastic Moduli (GPa) for \( a: x = 0; b: x = 0.125 \) Fe in M(1) and \( c: x = 0.125 \) Fe in M(2) at 0 and 20 GPa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( P )</th>
<th>( C_{11} )</th>
<th>( C_{22} )</th>
<th>( C_{33} )</th>
<th>( C_{44} )</th>
<th>( C_{55} )</th>
<th>( C_{66} )</th>
<th>( C_{12} )</th>
<th>( C_{13} )</th>
<th>( C_{23} )</th>
<th>( K )</th>
<th>( G )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( 0^a )</td>
<td>332.5</td>
<td>207.6</td>
<td>243.0</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>136.8</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0^b )</td>
<td>322.6</td>
<td>202.0</td>
<td>247.9</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>140.6</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 20^a )</td>
<td>457.1</td>
<td>297.1</td>
<td>334.2</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>110.7</td>
<td>151.3</td>
<td>153.4</td>
<td>149.5</td>
<td>218.5</td>
<td>101.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 20^b )</td>
<td>447.6</td>
<td>294.0</td>
<td>328.1</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>106.8</td>
<td>159.3</td>
<td>162.9</td>
<td>153.4</td>
<td>221.0</td>
<td>96.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 20^c )</td>
<td>442.0</td>
<td>284.0</td>
<td>340.7</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>101.2</td>
<td>158.0</td>
<td>165.3</td>
<td>162.0</td>
<td>222.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\( K \) and \( G \) are calculated as Voigt-Reuss-Hill averages.

\( ^a \)
The magnitudes of azimuthal anisotropy for P- ($A_P$) and S- ($A_S$) waves in the single crystal (Figure 3a) are

$$A_P = \left( \frac{V_{p \max} - V_{p \min}}{V_P} \right) \times 100, \quad A_S = \left( \frac{V_{S \max} - V_{S \min}}{V_S} \right) \times 100,$$

where $V_P$ and $V_S$ are the aggregate velocities. In the pressure interval relevant for the upper mantle, $A_P$ decreases monotonically. Meanwhile, $A_S$ first decreases to a minimum at 5 GPa, then it increases monotonically.

Polarization anisotropy of shear waves is described by:

$$A_{ijkl}^{pol} = \frac{|v_{Si} - v_{Sj}|}{V_S} \times 100,$$

where $v_{Si}$ and $v_{Sj}$ are the two shear waves in the $[ijkl]$ direction.

In Figure 3b we show the pressure dependance of $A_{ijkl}^{pol}$ for the three main crystallographic directions: [100], [010], and [001]. For $x = 0$ and $x = 0.125$, directions [100] and [001] show steady increase and decrease polarization anisotropy, respectively, up to 20 GPa.

Anisotropy in a transversely isotropic aggregate of (Mg$_{1-x}$, Fe$_x$)$_2$SiO$_4$ is also useful for interpretation of upper mantle anisotropy in terms of mantle flow. Transverse anisotropies of $V_P$ and $V_S$ are defined as:

$$A_P^T = \left( \frac{V_{pH} - V_{pV}}{V_P} \right) \times 100, \quad A_S^T = \left( \frac{V_{SH} - V_{SV}}{V_S} \right) \times 100.$$

In equation (3), $v$ is elastic wave velocity, $n$ is propagation direction, $\delta_{ij}$ is Kronecker delta, and $\rho$ is density. For a given $n$, there are three solutions corresponding to one P-wave and two S-waves. The calculated wave velocities of olivine are smaller than those of forsterite. This is expected because Fe is heavier than Mg. We find that the directional dependance of P- and S-wave velocities for $x = 0$ and $x = 0.125$ are qualitatively similar between 0 and 20 GPa. The directions of fastest and slowest propagation for P-waves are [100] and [010], respectively. The fastest S-waves propagate in the [101] direction for all pressures, the slowest directions change from [010] and [001] at 0 GPa to approximately [011] at $\sim$5 GPa and up to 20 GPa. The direction of maximum difference between the S-waves velocities changes from [101] at zero pressure to approximately [011] at 20 GPa, that is, from the fastest to the slowest propagation direction.

Figure 2. Pressure dependance of the aggregate properties of (Mg$_{1-x}$, Fe$_x$)$_2$SiO$_4$ (red lines: $x = 0$, black lines: $x = 0.125$) compared to experimental data from Abramson et al. [1997] open circles ($x = 0.1075$) [Zha et al., 1996, 1998]; open squares ($x = 0$); solid squares ($x = 0.1$). (a) Bulk and shear moduli: color regions are given by the Voigt-Reuss-Hill upper and lower limits. (b) Compressional, shear, and bulk isotropic wave velocities.

Figure 3. Pressure variation of (a) azimuthal and (b) polarization (for S-wave) anisotropy of elastic wave velocities of (Mg$_{1-x}$, Fe$_x$)$_2$SiO$_4$ (red lines: $x = 0$, black lines: $x = 0.125$, color regions indicate limits of Fe in M(1) or M(2)).
respectively, where \( v_{pH} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\rho}} \left( v_{pp} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\rho}} \right) \) is the velocity of P-waves propagating horizontally (vertically), and \( v_{SH} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\rho}} \left( v_{SV} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\rho}} \right) \) is the velocity of S-waves polarized horizontally (vertically). For a transversely isotropic medium with vertical symmetry axis [001]: \( A = \frac{1}{2} (C_{11} + C_{22}) + \frac{1}{2} C_{12} + \frac{1}{2} C_{66} \), \( C = C_{33} \), \( N = \frac{1}{2} (C_{11} + C_{22}) - \frac{1}{2} C_{12} + \frac{1}{2} C_{66} \), and \( L = \frac{1}{2} (C_{44} + C_{55}) \). Cyclic permutations of Cartesian indices are used for the [100] and [010] symmetry axes [Wentzcovitch et al., 1998].

These transverse anisotropies for aggregates with \( a, b, \) and \( c \) axes aligned vertically are shown in Figure 4. For vertically oriented [010] and [001] axes, \( A_{ij}^{P} \) is always positive and almost independent of pressure in the interval of 0–20 GPa. For vertically oriented [100] symmetry axis, P-waves propagate faster (slower) when parallel (perpendicular) to it, therefore, anisotropy is always negative between 0 and 20 GPa. This is understood from the elastic constant \( C_{11} \) being larger than their counterpart \( \frac{1}{2} (C_{22} + C_{33}) + \frac{1}{4} C_{23} + \frac{1}{4} C_{44} \). Similarly, vertically polarized waves are faster than horizontally polarized waves resulting in \( A_{ij}^{S} < 0 \). The transverse anisotropy of S-waves with [010] and [001] vertical alignments can be equally understood in terms of the \( C_{ij}^{S} \).

Finally, it is important to quantify the effect of composition, \( x \), on velocities of olivine as a step towards understanding lateral velocity variations in the upper mantle, even though lateral temperature or phase variations are presumed to be their main source. These are usually represented by the seismic parameters:

\[
R_{S/P} = \frac{b \ln V_{S}}{\delta \ln V_{P}}, \quad R_{P/S} = \frac{b \ln V_{P}}{\delta \ln V_{S}}.
\] (7)

We find that in the 0–20 GPa interval, \( R_{S/P} \) in olivine varies between 1.57 and 1.96, while \( R_{P/S} \) varies between 0.21 and 0.36. These values fall precisely within the range of these parameters calculated from tomographic studies [Karato and Karki, 2001]. This suggests that we cannot unequivocally identify the source of lateral velocity variations at this point. Further and more detailed analyses, e.g. of current and past local conditions, thermochemical equilibrium, etc., appear to be necessary to sort out the source(s) of such velocity anomalies.

4. Conclusions

[16] We investigated the elastic properties of \((\text{Mg}_{1-x}, \text{Fe}_{x})_2\text{SiO}_4\) olivine by first-principles to address the effects of iron. We found a tendency for a HS to LS crossover in iron starting at 15 GPa. However, due to the LDA underestimation of transition pressures we restricted iron spin to be in the HS state up to 20 GPa. The true crossover pressure range should start above this. Within this constraint our \( C_{ij} \) were found to be consistent with experiments up to 20 GPa. The remaining differences are expected to be fully accounted by temperature effects. We paid particular attention to the artificial effect of the atomic configurations in supercell calculations of elasticity of solid solutions. Different configurations produced \( C_{ij} \) within a few percent of each other. However, this effect on aggregate moduli an velocities is very small and negligible for practical purpose. This is a good guidance for future calculations of this kind. Single crystal anisotropies are more sensitive to the atomic configuration. Nevertheless, the overall trends with pressure are reproduced by all configurations. Anisotropies of transversely isotropic aggregates are considerably less dependent on atomic configuration. Compared to other silicates, e.g., \( \text{MgSiO}_3 \) perovskite, whose anisotropy changes drastically with pressure [Wentzcovitch et al., 1998; Karki et al., 2001], anisotropies of olivine showed a weak pressure dependence in the upper mantle. Transverse anisotropy factors were almost pressure independent. In general, our results agree with the weak seismic anisotropy in the upper mantle discussed by Mainprice et al. [2005], where experimental results by Abramson et al. [1997] are used. Finally, variations of iron in olivine are compatible with the parameters produced by seismic tomography.
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